arXiv:1302.4906v1 [math.DG] 20 Feb 2013

ANTI-INVARIANT RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS FROM SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

I. KÜPELI ERKEN AND C. MURATHAN

Abstract. We introduce anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds. We survey main results of anti-invariant Riemannian submersions defined on Sasakian manifolds. We investigate necessary and sufficient condition for an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion to be totally geodesic and harmonic. We give examples of anti-invariant submersions such that characteristic vector field ξ is vertical or horizontal.

1. **Introduction**

Let F be a C^{∞}-submersion from a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then according to the conditions on the map $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$, we have the following submersions:

semi-Riemannian submersion and Lorentzian submersion [9], Riemannian submersion ([16], [10]), slant submersion ([7], [21]), almost Hermitian submersion [23], contact-complex submersion [14], quaternionic submersion [13], almost h-slant submersion and h-slant submersion [18], semi-invariant submersion [22], h-semi-invariant submersion [19], etc. As we know, Riemannian submersions are related with physics and have their applications in the Yang-Mills theory ([5], [24]), Kaluza-Klein theory ([6], [11]), Supergravity and superstring theories ([12], [25]). In [20], Sahin introduced anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds. In this paper we consider anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifolds. The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we present the basic information about Riemannian submersions needed for this paper. In section 3, we mention about Sasakian manifolds. In section 4, we give definition of anti-invariant Riemannian submersions and introduce anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds. We survey main results of anti-invariant submersions defined on Sasakian manifolds. We give examples of anti-invariant submersions such that characteristic vector field ξ is vertical or horizontal.

2. **Riemannian Submersions**

In this section we recall several notions and results which will be needed throughout the paper.

Let (M, g_M) be an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold , let (N, g_N) be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. A Riemannian submersion is a smooth map $F : M \to N$ which is onto and satisfies the following three axioms:

Date: 20.02.2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25, 53C43, 53C55; Secondary 53D15.

Key words and phrases. Riemannian submersion, Sasakian manifold, Anti-invariant submersion

This paper is supported by Uludag University research project (KUAP(F)-2012/57).

$S1$. F has maximal rank.

$S2$. The differential F_* preserves the lenghts of horizontal vectors.

The fundamental tensors of a submersion were defined by O'Neill ([16],[17]). They are $(1,2)$-tensors on M, given by the formula:

$$\mathcal{T}(E,F) = \mathcal{T}_E F = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{H}F, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(E,F) = \mathcal{A}_E F = \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{H}F + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{V}F, \tag{2.2}$$

for any vector field E and F on M. Here ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g_M). These tensors are called integrability tensors for the Riemannian submersions. Note that we denote the projection morphism on the distributions $\ker F_*$ and $(\ker F_*)^{\perp}$ by $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. The following Lemmas are well known ([16],[17]).

Lemma 1. *For any U, W vertical and X, Y horizontal vector fields, the tensor fields $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy:*

$$i)\mathcal{T}_U W = \mathcal{T}_W U, \tag{2.3}$$

$$ii)\mathcal{A}_X Y = -\mathcal{A}_Y X = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}\left[X, Y\right]. \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}$ is vertical, $\mathcal{T}_E = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}E}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is horizontal, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}E}$.

For each $q \in N$, $F^{-1}(q)$ is an $(m-n)$ dimensional submanifold of M. The submanifolds $F^{-1}(q)$, $q \in N$, are called fibers. A vector field on M is called vertical if it is always tangent to fibers. A vector field on M is called horizontal if it is always orthogonal to fibers. A vector field X on M is called basic if X is horizontal and F-related to a vector field X on N, i. e., $F_* X_p = X_{*F(p)}$ for all $p \in M$.

Lemma 2. *Let $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion. If X, Y are basic vector fields on M, then:*

i) $g_M(X, Y) = g_N(X_*, Y_*) \circ F$,

ii) $\mathcal{H}[X, Y]$ is basic, F-related to $[X_*, Y_*]$,

iii) $\mathcal{H}(\nabla_X Y)$ is basic vector field corresponding to $\overset{*}{\nabla}_{X_*} Y_*$ where ∇^* is the connection on N.

iv) for any vertical vector field V, $[X, V]$ is vertical.

Moreover, if X is basic and U is vertical then $\mathcal{H}(\nabla_U X) = \mathcal{H}(\nabla_X U) = \mathcal{A}_X U$. On the other hand, from (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\nabla_V W = \mathcal{T}_V W + \hat{\nabla}_V W \tag{2.5}$$

$$\nabla_V X = \mathcal{H}\nabla_V X + \mathcal{T}_V X \tag{2.6}$$

$$\nabla_X V = \mathcal{A}_X V + \mathcal{V}\nabla_X V \tag{2.7}$$

$$\nabla_X Y = \mathcal{H}\nabla_X Y + \mathcal{A}_X Y \tag{2.8}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$ and $V, W \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, where $\hat{\nabla}_V W = \mathcal{V}\nabla_V W$.

Notice that $\mathcal{T}$ acts on the fibres as the second fundamental form of the submersion and restricted to vertical vector fields and it can be easily seen that $\mathcal{T} = 0$ is equivalent to the condition that the fibres are totally geodesic. A Riemannian submersion is called a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fiber if $\mathcal{T}$ vanishes identically. Let $U_1, ..., U_{m-n}$ be an orthonormal frame of $\Gamma(\ker F_*)$. Then the horizontal vector field H $= \frac{1}{m-n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m-n} \mathcal{T}_{U_j} U_j$ is called the mean curvature vector field of the fiber. If $H = 0$ the

Riemannian submersion is said to be minimal. A Riemannian submersion is called a Riemannian submersion with totally umbilical fibers if

$$\mathcal{T}_U W = g_M(U,W)H \tag{2.9}$$

for $U, W \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. For any $E \in \Gamma(TM), \mathcal{T}_E$ and $\mathcal{A}_E$ are skew-symmetric operators on $(\Gamma(TM), g_M)$ reversing the horizontal and the vertical distributions. By Lemma 1 horizontally distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is integrable if and only if $\mathcal{A}=0$. For any $D, E, G \in \Gamma(TM)$ one has

$$g(\mathcal{T}_D E, G) + g(\mathcal{T}_D G, E) = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$g(\mathcal{A}_D E, G) + g(\mathcal{A}_D G, E) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

We recall the notion of harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. Let (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) be Riemannian manifolds and suppose that $\varphi : M \to N$ is a smooth map between them. Then the differential φ_* of φ can be viewed a section of the bundle $Hom(TM, \varphi^{-1}TN) \to M$, where $\varphi^{-1}TN$ is the pullback bundle which has fibres $(\varphi^{-1}TN)_p = T_{\varphi(p)}N$, $p \in M$. $Hom(TM, \varphi^{-1}TN)$ has a connection ∇ induced from the Levi-Civita connection ∇^M and the pullback connection. Then the second fundamental form of φ is given by

$$(\nabla\varphi_*)(X,Y) = \nabla^{\varphi}_X \varphi_*(Y) - \varphi_*(\nabla^M_X Y) \tag{2.12}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where ∇^{φ} is the pullback connection. It is known that the second fundamental form is symmetric. If φ is a Riemannian submersion it can be easily prove that

$$(\nabla\varphi_*)(X,Y) = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$.A smooth map $\varphi : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is said to be harmonic if $trace(\nabla\varphi_*) = 0$. On the other hand, the tension field of φ is the section $\tau(\varphi)$ of $\Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$ defined by

$$\tau(\varphi) = div\varphi_* = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\nabla\varphi_*)(e_i, e_i), \tag{2.14}$$

where $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ is the orthonormal frame on M. Then it follows that φ is harmonic if and only if $\tau(\varphi) = 0$, for details, [1].

3. **Sasakian Manifolds**

An n-dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to have an almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) if it carries a tensor field ϕ of type $(1,1)$, a vector field ξ and 1-form η on M respectively such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \ \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where I denotes the identity tensor.

The almost contact structure is said to be normal if $N + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$, where N is the Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ. Suppose that a Riemannian metric tensor g is given in M and satisfies the condition

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi). \tag{3.2}$$

Then (ϕ, ξ, η, g)-structure is called an almost contact metric structure. Define a tensor field Φ of type $(0,2)$ by $\Phi(X,Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$. If $d\eta = \Phi$ then an almost contact metric structure is said to be normal contact metric structure. A normal contact metric structure is called a Sasakian structure, which satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \tag{3.3}$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g. For a Sasakian manifold $M = M^{2n+1}$, it is known that

$$R(\xi, X)Y = g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \tag{3.4}$$

$$S(X,\xi) = 2n\eta(X) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X. \tag{3.6}$$

[4].

Now we will introduce a well known Sasakian manifold example on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$.

Example 1 ([2]). *We consider $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ with Cartesian coordinates (x_i, y_i, z) $(i = 1, ..., n)$ and its usual contact form*

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2}(dz - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i dx_i).$$

The characteristic vector field ξ is given by $2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and its Riemannian metric g and tensor field ϕ are given by

$$g = \frac{1}{4}(\eta \otimes \eta + \sum_{i=1}^{n}((dx_i)^2 + (dy_i)^2), \quad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{ij} & 0 \\ -\delta_{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ i = 1, ..., n$$

This gives a contact metric structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. The vector fields $E_i = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$, $E_{n+i} = 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$, ξ form a ϕ-basis for the contact metric structure. On the other hand, it can be shown that $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a Sasakian manifold.

4. Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions

Definition 1. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ be a Sasakian manifold and (N, g_N) be a Riemannian manifold. A Riemannian submersion $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is called an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion if* $\ker F_*$ *is anti-invariant with respect to ϕ, i.e. $\phi(\ker F_*) \subseteq (\ker F_*)^\perp$.*

Let $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). First of all, from Definition 1, we have $\phi(\ker F_*) \cap (\ker F_*)^\perp \neq \{0\}$. We denote the complementary orthogonal distribution to $\phi(\ker F_*)$ in $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ by μ. Then we have

$$(\ker F_*)^\perp = \phi \ker F_* \oplus \mu. \tag{4.1}$$

Now we will introduce some examples.

Example 2. $\mathbb{R}^5$ *has got a Sasakian structure as in Example 1. The Riemannian metric tensor field $g_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is defined*

$$g_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \frac{1}{8}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $F:\mathbb{R}^5\to\mathbb{R}^2$ *be a map defined by* $F(x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2,z)=(x_1+y_1,x_2+y_2)$. *Then, by direct calculations*

$$\ker F_*=span\{V_1=E_1-E_3,\ V_2=E_2-E_4,\ V_3=E_5=\xi\}$$

and

$$(\ker F_*)^\perp=span\{H_1=E_1+E_3,\ H_2=E_2+E_4\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that F *is a Riemannian submersion. Moreover,* $\phi V_1=H_1$, $\phi V_2=H_2$, $\phi V_3=0$ *imply that* $\phi(\ker F_*)=(\ker F_*)^\perp$. *As a result,* F *is an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* ξ *is vertical.*

Example 3. *Let* N *be* $\mathbb{R}^3-\{(y_1,y_2,z)\in\mathbb{R}^3\mid y_1^2+y_2^2\le 2\}$ *and* $\mathbb{R}^5$ *be a Sasakian manifold as in Example 1. The Riemannian metric tensor field* g_N *is given by*

$$g_N=\frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{y_1y_2}{2} & -\frac{y_1}{2}\\ \frac{y_1y_2}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{y_2}{2}\\ -\frac{y_1}{2} & -\frac{y_2}{2} & 1\end{bmatrix}$$

on N.

Let $F:\mathbb{R}^5\to N$ *be a map defined by* $F(x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2,z)=(x_1+y_1,x_2+y_2,\frac{y_1^2}{2}+\frac{y_2^2}{2}+z)$. *After some calculations we have*

$$\ker F_*=span\{V_1=E_1-E_3,V_2=E_2-E_4\}$$

and

$$(\ker F_*)^\perp=span\{H_1=E_1+E_3,\ H_2=E_2+E_4,\ H_3=E_5=\xi\}$$

Then it is easy to see that F *is a Riemannian submersion. Moreover,* $\phi V_1=H_1$, $\phi V_2=H_2$ *imply that* $\phi(\ker F_*)\subset(\ker F_*)^\perp=\phi(\ker F_*)\oplus\{\xi\}$. *Thus* F *is an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* ξ *is horizontal.*

Example 4. *Let* N *be* $\mathbb{R}^4-\{(y_1,y_2,y_3,z)\in\mathbb{R}^4\mid y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2-y_1^2y_2^2y_3^2\le 2\}$ *and* $\mathbb{R}^7$ *be a Sasakian manifold as in Example 1. The Riemannian metric tensor field* g_N *is given by*

$$g_N=\frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{y_1y_2}{2} & -\frac{y_1}{2} & \frac{y_1y_3}{2} & 0\\ \frac{y_1y_2}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{y_2}{2} & \frac{y_2y_3}{2} & 0\\ -\frac{y_1}{2} & -\frac{y_2}{2} & 1 & -\frac{y_3}{2} & 0\\ \frac{y_1y_3}{2} & \frac{y_2y_3}{2} & -\frac{y_3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}$$

on N.

Let $F:\mathbb{R}^7\to N$ *be a map defined by* $F(x_1,x_2,x_3,y_1,y_2,y_3,z)=(x_1+y_1,x_2+y_2,\frac{y_1^2}{2}+\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2}+z,x_3+y_3,x_3-y_3)$. *After some calculations we have*

$$\ker F_*=span\{V_1=E_1-E_4,V_2=E_2-E_5\}$$

and

$$(\ker F_*)^\perp=span\{H_1=E_1+E_4,\ H_2=E_2+E_5,\ H_3=E_3-E_6,H_4=E_3+E_6,H_5=\xi=E_7\}$$

Then it is easy to see that F *is a Riemannian submersion.* $\phi V_1=H_1$, $\phi V_2=H_2$ *imply that* $\phi(\ker F_*)\subset(\ker F_*)^\perp=\phi(\ker F_*)\oplus span\{H_3,H_4,H_5\}$. *So* F *is an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* ξ *is horizontal.*

4.1. **Anti-invariant submersions admitting vertical structure vector field.** In this section, we will study anti-invariant submersions from a Sasakian manifold onto a Riemannian manifold such that the characteristic vector field ξ is vertical.

It is easy to see that μ is an invariant distribution of $(\ker F_*)^{\perp}$, under the endomorphism ϕ. Thus, for $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$, we write

$$\phi X = BX + CX, \tag{4.2}$$

where $BX \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $CX \in \Gamma(\mu)$. On the other hand, since $F_*((\ker F_*)^{\perp}) = TN$ and F is a Riemannian submersion, using (4.2) we derive $g_N(F_*\phi V, F_*CX) = 0$, for every $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*))^{\perp}$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, which implies that

$$TN = F_*(\phi(\ker F_*)) \oplus F_*(\mu). \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ be a Sasakian manifold of dimension $2m+1$ and (N, g_N) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Let $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^{\perp}$. Then the characteristic vector field ξ is vertical and $m = n$.*

Proof. By the assumption $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^{\perp}$, for any $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ we have $g_M(\xi, \phi U) = -g_M(\phi\xi, U) = 0$, which shows that the structure vector field is vertical. Now we suppose that $U_1, ..., U_{k-1}, \xi = U_k$ be an orthonormal frame of $\Gamma(\ker F_*)$, where $k = 2m - n + 1$. Since $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^{\perp}$, $\phi U_1, ..., \phi U_{k-1}$ form an orthonormal frame of $\Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$. So, by help of (4.3) we obtain $k = n + 1$ which implies that $m = n$. □

Remark 1. *We note that Example 2 satisfies Theorem 1.*

Theorem 2. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ be a Sasakian manifold of dimension $2m+1$ and (N, g_N) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Let $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion. Then the fibers are not totally umbilical.*

Proof. Using (2.5) and (3.6) we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}_U \xi = -\phi U \tag{4.4}$$

for any $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. If the fibers are totally umbilical, then we have $\mathcal{T}_U V = g_M(U, V)H$ for any vertical vector fields U, V where H is the mean curvature vector field of any fibre. Since $\mathcal{T}_\xi \xi = 0$, we have $H = 0$, which shows that fibres are minimal. Hence the fibers are totally geodesic, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\mathcal{T}_U \xi = -\phi U \neq 0$. □

From (3.1) and (4.2) we have following Lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let F be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} BCX &= 0, \\ C^2X + \phi BX &= -X, \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$.

Using (3.3) one can easily obtain

$$\nabla_X Y = -\phi \nabla_X \phi Y + g(Y, \phi X)\xi \tag{4.5}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$.

Lemma 4. *Let F be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N,g_N). Then we have*

$$CX = -\mathcal{A}_X\xi, \tag{4.6}$$

$$g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi U) = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$g_M(\nabla_Y\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi U) = -g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_Y U) + \eta(U)g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,Y) \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$g_M(X,\mathcal{A}_Y\xi) = -g_M(Y,\mathcal{A}_X\xi) \tag{4.9}$$

for $X,Y\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. By virtue of (2.7) and (3.6) we have (4.6).

For $X\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$, by virtue of (3.2), (4.2) and (4.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi U) &= -g_M(\phi X - BX,\phi U) \\ &= -g_M(X,U) + \eta(X)\eta(U) - g_M(\phi BX,U). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Since $\phi BX\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $\xi\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$, (4.10) implies (4.7).

Now from (4.7) we get

$$g_M(\nabla_Y\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi U) = -g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\nabla_Y\phi U)$$

for $X,Y\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$. Then using (2.7) and (3.3) we have

$$g_M(\nabla_Y\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi U) = -g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_Y U) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi(\mathcal{V}\nabla_Y U)) + \eta(U)g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,Y).$$

Since $\phi(\mathcal{V}\nabla_Y U)\in\Gamma(\phi\ker F_*) = \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, we obtain (4.8).

Using (2.11), we obtain directly (4.9). □

We now study the integrability of the distribution $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and then we investigate the geometry of leaves of $\ker F_*$ and $(\ker F_*)^\perp$. We note that it is known that the distribution $\ker F_*$ is integrable.

Theorem 3. *Let F be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N,g_N). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other;*

i) $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ *is integrable.*

ii)

$$\begin{aligned} g_N((\nabla F_*)(Y,BX),F_*\phi V) &= g_N((\nabla F_*)(X,BY),F_*\phi V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_X V). \end{aligned}$$

iii)

$$g_M(\mathcal{A}_X BY - \mathcal{A}_Y BX,\phi V) = g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_X V)$$

for $X,Y\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $V\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. Using (4.5), for $X,Y\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $V\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_M([X,Y],V) &= g_M(\nabla_X Y,V) - g_M(\nabla_Y X,V) \\ &= g_M(\nabla_X\phi Y,\phi V) - g_M(\nabla_Y\phi X,\phi V) + 2g_M(\phi X,Y)g_M(V,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Then from (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_M([X,Y],V) &= g_M(\nabla_X BY,\phi V) - g_M(\nabla_X \mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi V) - g_M(\nabla_Y BX,\phi V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi V) + 2g_M(\phi X,Y)g_M(V,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2), (2.7) and if we take into account that F is a Riemannian submersion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_M([X,Y],V) &= g_N(F_*\nabla_X BY,F_*\phi V) - g_M(\nabla_X \mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi V) \\ &\quad - g_N(F_*\nabla_Y BX,F_*\phi V) + g_M(\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi V) \\ &\quad - 2g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,Y)g_M(V,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2.12) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_M([X,Y],V) &= g_N(-(\nabla F_*)(X,BY) + (\nabla F_*)(Y,BX),F_*\phi V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_X V) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) \end{aligned}$$

which proves $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$. On the other hand using (2.12) we get

$$(\nabla F_*)(Y,BX) - (\nabla F_*)(X,BY) = -F_*(\nabla_Y BX - \nabla_X BY).$$

Then (2.7) implies that

$$(\nabla F_*)(Y,BX) - (\nabla F_*)(X,BY) = -F_*(\mathcal{A}_Y BX - \mathcal{A}_X BY).$$

From (2.2) $\mathcal{A}_Y BX - \mathcal{A}_X BY \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, this shows that $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$. □

Remark 2. *If* $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^\perp$ *then we get* $C = 0$ *and morever (4.3) implies that* $TN = F_*(\phi(\ker F_*))$.

Hence we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let* $F : M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M) \to (N,g_N)$ *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^\perp$, *where* $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ *is a Sasakian manifold and* (N,g_N) *is a Riemannian manifold. Then following assertions are equivalent to each other;*
$i)(\ker F_*)^\perp$ *is integrable.*
$ii)(\nabla F_*)(Y,\phi X) = (\nabla F_*)(X,\phi Y)$ *for* $X,Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.
$iii)\mathcal{A}_X\phi Y = \mathcal{A}_Y\phi X$.

Theorem 4. *Let* F *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold* $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ *to a Riemannian manifold* (N,g_N). *Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other;*

$i)$ $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ *defines a totally geodesic foliation on* M.
$ii)$

$$g_M(\mathcal{A}_X BY,\phi V) = -g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_X V).$$

$iii)$

$$g_N((\nabla F_*)(X,\phi Y),F_*\phi V) = g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_X V) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,X)\eta(V)$$

for $X,Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. From (2.7), (4.2), (4.5) and (4.8) we obtain
(4.11)

$$g_M(\nabla_X Y,V) = g_M(\mathcal{A}_X BY,\phi V) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,\phi\mathcal{A}_X V) - (g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi,X) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi,Y))\eta(V)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. Using (4.9) in (4.11) we get

$$g_M(\nabla_X Y, V) = g_M(\mathcal{A}_X BY, \phi V) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \xi, \phi \mathcal{A}_X V)$$

The last equation shows $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$.

For $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(\mathcal{A}_X BY, \phi V) &= -g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \xi, \phi \mathcal{A}_X V) \\ &\overset{(4.8)}{=} g_M(\nabla_X \mathcal{A}_Y \xi, \phi V) - g_M(X, \mathcal{A}_Y \xi)\eta(V) \\ &\overset{(4.2)}{=} -g_M(\nabla_X \phi Y, \phi V) + g_M(\nabla_X BY, \phi V) - g_M(X, \mathcal{A}_Y \xi)\eta(V) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Since differential F_* preserves the lenghts of horizontal vectors the relation (4.12) forms

$$g_M(\mathcal{A}_X BY, \phi V) = g_N(F_* \nabla_X BY, F_* \phi V) - g_M(\nabla_X \phi Y, \phi V) - g_M(X, \mathcal{A}_Y \xi)\eta(V) \tag{4.13}$$

Using (4.5), (3.2), (2.12) and (2.13) in (4.13) respectively, we obtain

$$g_M(\mathcal{A}_X BY, \phi V) = g_N(-(\nabla F_*)(X, \phi Y), F_* \phi V) - g_M(X, \mathcal{A}_Y \xi)\eta(V)$$

which tells that $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$. □

Corollary 2. *Let* $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^\perp$. *Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other;*

i) $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ *defines a totally geodesic foliation on* M.
ii) $\mathcal{A}_X \phi Y = 0$.
iii) $(\nabla F_*)(X, \phi Y) = 0$ *for* $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

We note that a differentiable map F between two Riemannian manifolds is called totally geodesic if $\nabla F_* = 0$. Using Theorem 2 one can easily prove that the fibers are not totally geodesic. Hence we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let* $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion where* $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ *is a Sasakian manifold and* (N, g_N) *is a Riemannian manifold. Then* F *is not totally geodesic map.*

Finally, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^\perp$ to be harmonic.

Theorem 6. *Let* $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* $\phi(\ker F_*) = (\ker F_*)^\perp$, *where* $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ *is a Sasakian manifold and* (N, g_N) *is a Riemannian manifold. Then* F *is harmonic if and only if* $Trace\phi\mathcal{T}_V = (2m - n)\eta(V)$ *for* $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. From [8] we know that F is harmonic if and only if F has minimal fibres. Thus F is harmonic if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i = 0$, where $k = 2m + 1 - n$ is dimension of $\ker F_*$. On the other hand, from (2.5), (2.6) and (3.3) we get

$$\mathcal{T}_V \phi W = \phi \mathcal{T}_V W - \eta(W)V + g(V, W)\xi \tag{4.14}$$

for any W, $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. Using (4.14), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i} \phi e_i, V) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i, \phi V) + (k - 1)\eta(V)$$

for any $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. (2.10) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_M(\phi e_i, \mathcal{T}_{e_i} V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i, \phi V) - (k-1)\eta(V)$$

Then, using (2.3) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_M(\phi e_i, \mathcal{T}_V\ e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i, \phi V) - (k-1)\eta(V).$$

Hence, proof comes from (3.2). □

4.2. **Anti-invariant submersions admitting horizontal structure vector field.**

In this section, we will study anti-invariant submersions from a Sasakian manifold onto a Riemannian manifold such that the characteristic vector field ξ is horizontal. Using (4.1), we have $\mu = \phi\mu \oplus \{\xi\}$. For any horizontal vector field X we put

$$\phi X = BX + CX, \tag{4.15}$$

where where $BX \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $CX \in \Gamma(\mu)$.

Now we suppose that V is vertical and X is horizontal vector field. Using above relation and (3.2) we obtain

$$g_M(\phi V, CX) = 0.$$

From this last relation we have $g_N(F_*\phi V, F_*CX) = 0$ which implies that

$$TN = F_*(\phi(\ker F_*)) \oplus F_*(\mu). \tag{4.16}$$

Theorem 7. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ be a Sasakian manifold of dimension $2m+1$ and (N, g_N) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Let $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that $(\ker F_*)^{\perp} = \phi \ker F_* \oplus \{\xi\}$. Then $m+1 = n$.*

Proof. We assume that $U_1, ..., U_k$ be an orthonormal frame of $\Gamma(\ker F_*)$, where $k = 2m - n + 1$. Since $(\ker F_*)^{\perp} = \phi \ker F_* \oplus \{\xi\}$, $\phi U_1, ..., \phi U_k, \xi$ form an orthonormal frame of $\Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$. So, by help of (4.3) we obtain $k = n - 1$ which implies that $m+1 = n$. □

Remark 3. *We note that Example 3 satisfies Theorem 7.*

From (3.1) and (4.16) we obtain following Lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let F be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} BCX &= 0, \\ \phi^2 X &= C^2 X + \phi BX \end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$.

Using (3.3) one can easily obtain

$$\nabla_X Y = -\phi \nabla_X \phi Y + \eta(\nabla_X Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X \tag{4.17}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$.

Lemma 6. *Let F be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then we have*

$$BX = -\mathcal{A}_X \xi, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_U \xi = 0, \tag{4.19}$$

$$g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi U) = 0, \tag{4.20}$$

$$g_M(\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi U) = -g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y U), \tag{4.21}$$

$$g_M(\nabla_X CY, \phi U) = -g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X U), \tag{4.22}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ *and* $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. By virtue of (2.8), (3.6) and (4.15) we have (4.18). Using (2.6) and (3.6) we obtain (4.19). Since $\mathcal{A}_X\xi$ is vertical and ϕU is horizontal for $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, we have (4.20). Now using (4.20) we get

$$g_M(\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi U) = -g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, \nabla_Y \phi U)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. Then using (2.7) and (3.3) we have

$$g_M(\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi U) = -g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y U) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi(\mathcal{V}\nabla_Y U)).$$

Since $\phi(\mathcal{V}\nabla_Y U) \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, we obtain (4.21).

From (4.1) we get

$$g_M(CY, \phi U) = 0.$$

From this relation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g_M(\nabla_X CY, \phi U) + g_M(CY, \nabla_X \phi U) \\ &\overset{(3.3)}{=} g_M(\nabla_X CY, \phi U) + g_M(CY, \phi\nabla_X U) \\ &\overset{(2.7)}{=} g_M(\nabla_X CY, \phi U) + g_M(CY, \phi(\mathcal{A}_X U)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain (4.22). □

We now study the integrability of the distribution $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and then we investigate the geometry of leaves of $\ker F_*$ and $(\ker F_*)^\perp$.

Theorem 8. *Let F be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other;*

i) $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ *is integrable.*

ii)

$$\begin{aligned} g_N((\nabla F_*)(Y, \mathcal{A}_X\xi), F_*\phi V) &= g_N((\nabla F_*)(X, \mathcal{A}_Y\xi), F_*\phi V) \\ &\quad + g_M(CX, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, V)\eta(Y) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi, V)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

iii)

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\mathcal{A}_Y\xi - \mathcal{A}_Y\mathcal{A}_X\xi, \phi V) &= + g_M(CX, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, V)\eta(Y) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi, V)\eta(X) \end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. From (4.15), (4.17) and (4.18) we have

$$g_M(\nabla_X Y, V) = g_M(\nabla_X CY, \phi V) - g_M(\nabla_X \mathcal{A}_Y\xi, \phi V) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, V)\eta(Y) \tag{4.23}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.Using (4.21) in (4.23) we obtain

$$g_M(\nabla_X Y, V) = g_M(\nabla_X CY, \phi V) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y\xi, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X\xi, V)\eta(Y)$$

By help (4.21) and (4.22), the last relation becomes

$$g_M(\nabla_X Y, V) = -g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) - g_M(\nabla_X \mathcal{A}_Y \xi, \phi V) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X \xi, V)\eta(Y)$$

Interchanging the role of X and Y, we get

$$g_M(\nabla_Y X, V) = -g_M(CX, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X \xi, \phi V) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \xi, V)\eta(X)$$

so that combining the above two relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_M([X,Y],V) &= g_M(\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X \xi, \phi V) - g_M(\nabla_X \mathcal{A}_Y \xi, \phi V) \\ &\quad + g_M(CX, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X \xi, V)\eta(Y) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \xi, V)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

Since differential F_* preserves the lenghts of horizontal vectors we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_M([X,Y],V) &= g_N(F_*\nabla_Y \mathcal{A}_X \xi, F_*\phi V) - g_N(F_*\nabla_X \mathcal{A}_Y \xi, F_*\phi V) \\ &\quad + g_M(CX, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X \xi, V)\eta(Y) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \xi, V)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_M([X,Y],V) &= g_N(-(\nabla F_*)(Y, \mathcal{A}_X \xi), F_*\phi V) - g_N(-(\nabla F_*)(X, \mathcal{A}_Y \xi), F_*\phi V) \\ &\quad + g_M(CX, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X \xi, V)\eta(Y) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \xi, V)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

which proves $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$.

On the other hand using (2.12) we get

$$(\nabla F_*)(Y, BX) - (\nabla F_*)(X, BY) = -F_*(\nabla_Y BX - \nabla_X BY).$$

Using(2.7) and (4.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_N(-F_*(\mathcal{A}_Y \mathcal{A}_X \xi - \mathcal{A}_X \mathcal{A}_Y \xi), F_*\phi V) &= g_M(CX, \phi\mathcal{A}_Y V) - g_M(CY, \phi\mathcal{A}_X V) \\ &\quad + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X \xi, V)\eta(Y) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \xi, V)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

which tells that $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$. □

Remark 4. *We assume that* $(\ker F_*)^\perp = \phi \ker F_* \oplus \{\xi\}$. *Using (4.15) one can prove that* $CX = 0$.

Theorem 9. *Let* $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M)$ *be a Sasakian manifold of dimension* $2m+1$ *and* (N, g_N) *is a Riemannian manifold of dimension* n. *Let* $F : M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* $(\ker F_*)^\perp = \phi \ker F_* \oplus \{\xi\}$. *Then* $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ *is not integrable.*

Proof. From (3.2) it follows that

$$\phi(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_X BY - g(X,Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ .Interchanging the role of X and Y, we get

$$\phi(\nabla_Y X) = \nabla_Y BX - g(X,Y)\xi + \eta(X)Y$$

so that combining the above two relations, we have

$$\phi([X,Y]) = \nabla_X BY - \nabla_Y BX + \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y.$$

Using (2.7), (3.2), (4.18) and (3.4) one obtains

$$\phi([X,Y]) = \mathcal{A}_X BY - \mathcal{A}_Y BX + \mathcal{V}\nabla_X BY - \mathcal{V}\nabla_Y BX + R(X,Y)\xi$$

If $(\ker F_*)^{\perp}$ is integrable we have

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \mathcal{A}_X\mathcal{A}_Y\xi - \mathcal{A}_Y\mathcal{A}_X\xi. \tag{4.24}$$

On the otherhand, we know that if $\mathcal{H} = (\ker F_*)^{\perp}$ is integrable then $\mathcal{A} = 0$. Hence the last relation led to the contradiction with (3.4). ☐

From (2.8) and (3.6), we can give following Theorem.

Theorem 10. *Let $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ be a Sasakian manifold of dimension $2m+1$ and (N,g_N) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Let $F : M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M) \to (N,g_N)$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that $\phi(\ker F_*) \subset (\ker F_*)^{\perp}$. Then $(\ker F_*)^{\perp}$ does not define a totally geodesic foliation on M.*

For the distribution $\ker F_*$, we have;

Theorem 11. *Let F be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ to a Riemannian manifold (N,g_N). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other;*

i) $(\ker F_*)$ *defines a totally geodesic foliation on M.*
ii) $g_N(\nabla F_*)(V,\phi X), F_*\phi W) = 0$ for $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$ and $V, W \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.
iii) $\mathcal{T}_V BX + \mathcal{A}_{CX}V \in \Gamma(\mu)$.

Proof. Since $g_M(W,X) = 0$ we have $g_M(\nabla_V W, X) = -g(W, \nabla_V X)$. From (3.2) and (4.15) we get $g_M(\nabla_V W, X) = -g_M(\phi W, \nabla_V BX) - g_M(\phi W, \nabla_V CX)$. Using (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain $g_M(\nabla_V W, X) = -g_M(\phi W, \nabla_V \phi X)$. Then Riemannian submersion F and (2.12) imply that

$$g_M(\nabla_V W, X) = g_N(F_*\phi W, (\nabla F_*)(V, \phi X))$$

which is $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$. By direct calculation, we derive

$$g_N(F_*\phi W, (\nabla F_*)(V,\phi X)) = -g_M(\phi W, \nabla_V \phi X).$$

Using (4.15)we have

$$g_N(F_*\phi W, (\nabla F_*)(V,\phi X)) = -g_M(\phi W, \nabla_V BX + \nabla_V CX).$$

Hence we get

$$g_N(F_*\phi W, (\nabla F_*)(V,\phi X)) = -g_M(\phi W, \nabla_V BX + [V, CX] + \nabla_{CX} V).$$

Since $[V, CX] \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, using (2.5) and (2.7), we obtain

$$g_N(F_*\phi W, (\nabla F_*)(V,\phi X)) = -g_M(\phi W, \mathcal{T}_V BX + \mathcal{A}_{CX} V).$$

This shows $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$. ☐

Corollary 3. *Let $F : M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M) \to (N,g_N)$ be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that $(\ker F_*)^{\perp} = \phi \ker F_* \oplus \{\xi\}$, where $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ is a Sasakian manifold and (N,g_N) is a Riemannian manifold. Then following assertions are equivalent to each other;*
i)$(\ker F_*)$ *defines a totally geodesic foliation on M.*
ii)$(\nabla F_*)(V,\phi X) = 0$*, for $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$ and $V, W \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.*
iii) $\mathcal{T}_V \phi W = 0$.

Theorem 12. *Let* $F: M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)\to(N,g_N)$ *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* $(\ker F_*)^\perp=\phi\ker F_*\oplus\{\xi\}$, *where* $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ *is a Sasakian manifold and* (N,g_N) *is a Riemannian manifold. Then* F *is a totally geodesic map if and only if*

$$\mathcal{T}_W\phi V=0,\quad \forall\ W,\ V\in\Gamma(\ker F_*) \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_X\phi W=0,\quad \forall X\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp),\forall\ W\in\Gamma(\ker F_*). \tag{4.26}$$

Proof. First of all, we recall that the second fundamental form of a Riemannian submersion satisfies (2.13). For W, $V\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$, by using (2.6), (2.12) and (3.3) we get

$$(\nabla F_*)(W,V)=F_*(\phi\mathcal{T}_W\phi V). \tag{4.27}$$

On the other hand by using (2.12) and (3.3) we have

$$(\nabla F_*)(X,W)=F_*(\phi\nabla_X\phi W)$$

for $X\in\Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$. Then from (2.8), we obtain

$$(\nabla F_*)(X,W)=F_*(\phi\mathcal{A}_X\phi W-g(W,\phi X)\xi). \tag{4.28}$$

Since ϕ is non-singular, proof comes from (4.27), (4.28) and (2.13). □

Finally, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that $(\ker F_*)^\perp=\phi\ker F_*\oplus\{\xi\}$ to be harmonic.

Theorem 13. *Let* $F: M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)\to(N,g_N)$ *be an anti-invariant Riemannian submersion such that* $(\ker F_*)^\perp=\phi\ker F_*\oplus\{\xi\}$, *where* $M(\phi,\xi,\eta,g_M)$ *is a Sasakian manifold and* (N,g_N) *is a Riemannian manifold. Then* F *is harmonic if and only if* $Trace\phi\mathcal{T}_V=0$ *for* $V\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. From [8] we know that F is harmonic if and only if F has minimal fibres. Thus F is harmonic if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\mathcal{T}_{e_i}e_i=0$, where k is dimension of $\ker F_*$. On the other hand, from (2.5), (2.6) and (3.3) we get

$$\mathcal{T}_V\phi W=\phi\mathcal{T}_V W \tag{4.29}$$

for any W, $V\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$. Using (4.29), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i}\phi e_i,V)=-\sum_{i=1}^{k}g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i}e_i,\phi V)$$

for any $V\in\Gamma(\ker F_*)$. (2.10) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}g_M(\phi e_i,\mathcal{T}_{e_i}V)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i}e_i,\phi V).$$

Then, using (2.3) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}g_M(\phi e_i,\mathcal{T}_V\ e_i)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}g_M(\mathcal{T}_{e_i}e_i,\phi V).$$

Hence, proof comes from (3.2). □

References

[1] Baird P., Wood J.C., *Harmonic Morphisms Between Riemannian Manifolds,* London Mathematical Society Monographs, 29, Oxford University Press, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003

[2] Blair D.E., *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics **509**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1976), 146p

[3] Blair D.E., *On the class of contact metric manifolds with* 3-τ*-structure,* Note Mat. 16, 1 (1996), 99-104.

[4] Blair D. E., *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds,* Progress in Mathematics. 203, Birkhauser Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2002.

[5] Bourguignon J. P. , Lawson H. B. , *Stability and isolation phenomena for Yang-mills fields,* Commum. Math. Phys. 79, (1981), 189-230.

[6] Bourguignon J. P. , Lawson H. B. , *A Mathematician's visit to Kaluza-Klein theory,* Rend. Semin. Mat. Torino Fasc. Spec. (1989), 143-163.

[7] Chen B. Y. , *Geometry of slant submanifolds,* Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, 1990.

[8] Eells J., Sampson J. H., *Harmonic Mappings of Riemannian Manifolds,* Amer. J. Math., 86, (1964), 109-160.

[9] Falcitelli M. , Ianus S. , and Pastore A. M. , *Riemannian submersions and related topics,*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 2004.

[10] Gray A. , *Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions,* J. Math. Mech, 16 (1967), 715-737.

[11] IanusS. , Visinescu M. , *Kaluza-Klein theory with scalar fields and generalized Hopf manifolds,* Class. Quantum Gravity 4, (1987), 1317-1325.

[12] IanusS. , Visinescu M. *Space-time compactification and Riemannian submersions,* In: Rassias, G.(ed.) The Mathematical Heritage of C. F. Gauss, (1991), 358-371, World Scientific, River Edge.

[13] Ianus S. , Mazzocco R. , Vilcu G. E. , *Riemannian submersions from quaternionic manifolds*, Acta. Appl. Math. 104, (2008) 83-89.

[14] Ianus S. , Ionescu A. M. , Mazzocco R. , Vilcu G. E. , *Riemannian submersions from almost contact metric manifolds,* arXiv: 1102.1570v1 [math. DG].

[15] Kwon J. K. and Suh Y. J. , *On sectional and Ricci curvatures of semi-Riemannian submersions*, Kodai Math. J. 20, (1997) 53-66 .

[16] O'Neill B., *The fundamental equations of submersion,* Michigan Math. J. 13, (1966) 459-469.

[17] O'Neill B., *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity,* Academic Press, New York-London 1983.

[18] Park K. S. , *H–slant submersions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 49 No. 2, (2012), 329-338.

[19] Park K. S. , *H-semi-invariant submersions,* Taiwan. J. Math., 16(5), (2012), 1865-1878.

[20] Sahin B. , *Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 8(3), (2010) 437-447.

[21] Sahin B. , *Slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome 54(102) No. 1, (2011), 93 - 105.

[22] Sahin B. , *Semi-invariant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Canad. Math. Bull. , 54, No. 3 (2011)

[23] Watson B. , *Almost Hermitian submersions,* J. Differential Geom. , 11(1), (1976), 147-165.

[24] Watson B. , *G,* G'*-Riemannian submersions and nonlinear gauge field equations of general relativity,* In: Rassias, T. (ed.) Global Analysis - Analysis on manifolds, dedicated M. Morse. Teubner-Texte Math., 57 (1983), 324-349, Teubner, Leipzig.

[25] M. T. Mustafa, *Applications of harmonic morphisms to gravity,* J. Math. Phys. , 41(10), (2000), 6918-6929.

Art and Science Faculty,Department of Mathematics, Uludag University, 16059 Bursa, TURKEY

E-mail address: `iremkupeli@uludag.edu.tr`

Art and Science Faculty,Department of Mathematics, Uludag University, 16059 Bursa, TURKEY

E-mail address: `cengiz@uludag.edu.tr`